**Monitor Lab 3 Report**

**Group 2 YI WANG 3371561**

**1. evaluate all protocols from the set measurements completely and calculate the respective standard deviations.**

**[Theory]**

Where

n=number of the set, s=number of the bunch, r=reduced mean, =mean of all set

**[Application]**

Hence we get result of standard deviations (table 1):

Table 1. standard deviations

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Standard deviation (mgon) | Square Mean SD (mgon) |
| TS30 (without deformation) | 0.77 | 1.08 |
| TS30 (with deformation) | 0.76 |
| TM5000 (without deformation) | 1.84 | 2.26 |
| TM5000 (with deformation) | 1.31 |

**2. Determine the distance measurement accuracy, for a cross deviation accuracy of 0.1mm. Make a statement whether the accuracy of the tacheometer is sufficient to determine that distances, when a distance accuracy of is the given.**

**[Theory]**

Observation equation for cross deviation:

Law of Error Propagation:

From that we get the expression for :

**[Application]**

is given as 0.1mm, and from question 1 we can get the s, t and (as is shown in table 2 and 3):

Table 2. distance measurements

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TS30 (m) | 19.9648 | 14.9419 | 10.0135 | 4.9756 |

Table 3. difference angle between epochs

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TS30 (mgon) | 0 | -1.4 | -13.4 | -10 |
| TM5000 (mgon) | 0 | -2.9 | -9.5 | -12.4 |

Hence we get the final results for (table 4.1):

Table 4.1. distance measurement accuracy

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TS30 (m) |  |  |  |  |
| TM5000 (m) |  |  |  |  |

As we can see from the table, all of our results seem to be totally wrong with a complex form, that is to say, our standard deviations were too big. Specifically, our measurements during the lab exercise were totally wrong or invalid. After looking back to the initial measurement sheets, we found that the measurements of point 2 and 3 had big errors with both instruments, thus leading to the big standard deviations.

Now if we use the accuracy of the instruments (0.15 mgon) as the , we can get a better distance accuracy (as is shown in table 4.2):

Table 4.2. distance measurement accuracy with given angle accuracy

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TS30 (mm) | 0.0882 | 0.0936 | 0.0972 | 0.0993 |

Then we calculate the given accuracy of for distance measurement (table 5):

Table 5. given accuracy of distance measurement

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TS30 (mm) | 1.0002 | 1.0001 | 1.0001 | 1.0000 |

Comparing table 5, table 4.1 and table 4.2, obviously our measurement accuracy is not sufficient enough to determine the distances because the error of our angle measurements was too big. But with a normal angle accuracy that under the instrument standard (in this case 0.15 mgon), the accuracy of the tacheometer will then be sufficient to determine the distances.

**3. Calculate the cross deviations for all epochs and determine the achieved accuracy of the cross error. Compare the calculated cross deviations with the given values and discuss the differences.**

**[theory]**

Expression for cross deviation:

Accuracy calculation:

**[application]**

From the formula above we can calculate the cross deviations of all epochs, which are shown in table 6:

Table 6. cross deviations

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TS30 (mm) | 0 | 0.3286 | 2.1077 | 0.7816 |
| TM5000 (mm) | 0 | 0.6806 | 1.4943 | 0.9692 |

Then we can also get the differences comparing to the given values (1.0 mm, 2.0 mm, 0.5mm for P1, P2 and P3) and calculate the accuracy (table 7 and 8):

Table 7. differences between calculation and given values

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TS30 (mm) | 0 | -0.1714 | 0.1077 | -0.2184 |
| TM5000 (mm) | 0 | 0.1806 | -0.5057 | -0.0308 |

Table 8. error of cross error

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TS30 (%) | 0 | -34.28 | 5.38 | -21.84 |
| TM5000 (%) | 0 | 36.13 | -25.29 | -3.08 |

Considering the differences and errors above, we can see that our measurement results are unsatisfactory. The error of those three points is too big and unstable whatever instrument we used. The main reason might be our artificial mistakes when doing the measurement, that is to say, more specifically, our unfamiliarness with the instruments and the measuring procedure. During our measuring, we had trouble with alignment on point 2 and 3 because of the sometimes’ mixing up of the two stations. Also, we might have changed the stations or moved the instruments when doing the measurement. Last but not least, we became more and more hurried as the measurement went on, thus leading to careless observation and writing.

**4. Describe your own experiences with the two used aiming marks. Assess the achieved accuracy from set measurements and the perceived impression at aiming. Would you rather use the Mires or the cross-sign marks for this task?**

We used cross-sign mark with the tacheometer (TS30), a cross in the middle, and Mire mark with the theodolite, several circles around the center. Personally, it was a little bit unclear and time consuming for me to align the instrument and the target when using cross marks, and quicker and easier with Mire marks. However, once successfully aligned, the cross marks are more accurate than the Mire marks because they have definite center intersection. From the view of our accuracy results, we can also get the information that data measured by TS30 is more accurate than by TM5000. Therefore, for me I would prefer cross marks for this task.